ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE REGULATORY CONTACT RECORD

Date/Time

3/28/2001 and 4/4/2001 at 1400

Site Contact(s)

Vern Guthrie

Phone

966-7419

Regulatory Contact Dave Kruchek

Phone

303 692 5355

Agency

CDPHE

Purpose of Contact Removal of the Air Force Tower and 132 Transformer Foundations and Steam Line Stanchions and Concrete Pads around Buildings 111 and 333

Discussion

Both the Air Force Tower and 132 Transformer Foundation areas were visited during this meeting A proposal was presented to Mr Kruchek regarding the removal of these foundations The proposal entails providing documentation addressing the historical research conducted PCB information provided by Xcel Energy (for the transformer at 132 pad) radiological survey data, and pictures of these foundations Mr Kruchek agreed with this disposal approach Characterization information regarding these areas is attached and indicates that it meets the criteria for free release per the concrete RSOP In addition, a voice mail was left for Mr Kruchek on 3/29/01 stating that the steam line stanchions for lines feeding B111 and B333 and the concrete sidewalks/pads surrounding these buildings would be included in this project. On 4/4/01 Mr. Kruchek visited these areas and agreed that the same disposal approach could be used for these concrete areas

Contact Record Prepared By Vern Guthrie

Distribution

R DiSalvo RFFO

S Nesta, K H RISS

J Legare RFFO

F Gibbs K H RISS

S Tower RFFO

C Freiboth, K H RISS

S Gunderson, CDPHE

G Scott, K H

D Kruchek, CDPHE

C Deck, K H

T Rehder USEPA

D Shelton, K H

Contact Record 4/10/00

Rev 10/11/00 DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFIC

Page 1 of 1



K North, K-H ESS

ADMIN RECORD

ġ.

The facility in this report includes the concrete pad for the 132 Transformer. This pad no longer support the RFETS mission and need to be removed to reduce Site infrastructure risks and/or operating costs. The location of the pad is shown in Attachment A. The characterization was based on physical chemical and radiological hazards identified in the facility specific Historical Site Assessment Reports and on radiological screens conducted to demonstrate the material can be free released to a sanitary waste landfill. The pad will be dispositioned pursuant to the Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA). Environmental media beneath and surrounding the pad was not within the scope of this report.

A historical review was conducted to understand history and related hazards associated with the 132 Transformer Pad The review consisted of a walkdown and a document review including review of the Historical Release Report (refer to the D&D Characterization Protocol, MAN-077 DDCP) and documentation provided by New Century Services concerning the PCB content of the transformer

Based upon a review of historical and process knowledge and visual inspections additional sampling was determined not to be necessary for chemical contaminants of concern Following is the information to support this position

Asbestos

Based on the fact that this is a concrete pad with no coatings there is no concern for the presence of Suspect Asbestos Containing Materials

Beryllium

There is no record of beryllium operations or storage being conducted in the area where the pad is located. The historical evidence shows the pad is not located near buildings that conducted beryllium operations therefore the pad is not impacted by beryllium operations conducted elsewhere on site.

RCRA/CERCLA Constituents [including metals and volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds (VOCs & SVOCs)]

Based on historical knowledge no operations occurred with RCRA or CERCLA constituents at or near the 132 Transformer pad. The pad is not painted, therefore concerns of lead based paint are not an issue. Visual inspections revealed no chemical staining of the pad. Therefore in accordance with 8 CCR 1007 3 Parts 261 and 268 and 40 CFR 302 4 the concrete pad material is not subject to RCRA or CERCLA regulations.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Included with this report is information from New Century Services that documents the transformer has no PCB content. In addition, the pad does not contain nor is it coated with material that contains PCBs. Therefore the concrete material is not subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 761.

Radiological characterization was performed to define the nature and extent of radioactive materials that may be present on the pad. The Property Release Evaluation (PRE) confirmed that the pad does not contain radiological contamination above the surface contamination guidelines provided in DOE Order 5400 5 and the RFETS Radiological Control Manual

Based upon this report and subject to concurrence by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) the 132 Transformer pad is considered to be Type 1 facility and will be removed In accordance with Section 2 2 of MAN-077 DDCP if PDS requirements are met and

the absence of contamination is confirmed, the facility can be free-released. It is anticipated that the concrete from this pad will be placed with the concrete from the demolition of Building 111 to be used as free-releasable concrete for backfill on site in accordance with the Concrete RSOP

ATTACHMENT A

Facility Photographs/Maps and PREs

Best Available Copy

ŀ



April 21 2000

1123 W +3 dA e D a Col d 80223

Jerry Cable
Site Operations
Kaiser-Hill Company L L.C
P O Box 464
Golden CO 80402-0464

132 Substation Transformer PCB Content

Your e-mail dated April 12, 2000 requested Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) to provide written documentation concerning the PCB levels for the distribution transformer operating at the PSCo temporary substation (Site facility No 132) The Information in the following tabulation responds to this request.

Distribution Transformer PSCo Number A-01401

Main Tank Oil - PCB Level < 3 0 PPM

Load Tap Changer Compartment PCB Level < 2.0 PPM

If you have any questions concerning the above PCB data, please contact me at 303-571 3191

Sincerely

Richard J Blatnik
Managing-Director
Transmission and Substation
Construction, Operations and Maintenance
New Century Services

ì

the way the way and the second

Cc. Alian Lhotka Cheryl Rodriguez Hank Walsmith No A-01401 is an internal designator by PSCO, not necessarily physically on the transformer He assured is that this is the correct information applied to the correct transformer


Telecon W/ Orch 5/3/00.

"pennsylvana" 5.N. C-00618-5-1

Best Available Copy

** TOTAL PAGE 82 **

5



Best Available Copy

PAGE 002

| Mork Order # 40-03-059 | Category | ************************************** | Category | | (atequry | | Category | The second statement of the second se | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------|
| Public Service REPOR! Hork | SN1463436 SAMPLE # 05 - HACTIONS: A Date & Time Collected not specified | remonde : erlandstænderplessen som en en en en ensemblessengensemblestænder de le septembles had reblev er en | SN146343/ SAMPLE # 06 FRACTIONS A Date & Time Collected not specified | The state of the s | SN1463438 SAMPLE # 07 FRACTIONS A Date & Time Collected not specified | The second secon | C SAMPLE # OB FRACTIONS A Date & lime Collected not specified | Rocky Flats - 115-13 KV - T Q - A 14-01 Rocky Flats | |
| M 18 3 | SAMPLE ID 7TH AVE, 115 KV | 25 2CBOIL 8 | JAMPLE TO /TH AVE, 115 KV S | CBOIL 7 mg PCB/kg Oxl | JAMPLE 1D /TH AVE, 115 NV SA | CBOIL 8 | SAMPLE ID ROCK, CO0618-5-1 | *PCBUIL (2 | L PAGE 03 * PAGE 003 |

| RELEASE EVALUATION FORM Page 1 of 12. Release Evaluation No 010404-00116-001 EXTENDED No EXPIRES N/A Charge No. N/A PART I SENDER/CUSTODIAN Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred 132 substation slab, fiberglass grating, and small motal star case Current Location South of T130 trailer complex Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and star case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR315 As a result, and addiological surveys are required prior to surrestricted release. These materials may be reused or disposed of in accordance with | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RELEASE EVALUATION FORM Page 1 of 12. Release Evaluation No 010404-00116-001 EXTENDED No EXPIRES N/A Charge No. N/A PART I ACKNOWLEDGEMENT Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred 132 substation slab, fiberglass grating, and small metal stair case Current Location South of T130 trailer complex Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| PART I ACKNOWLEDGEMENT Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred 132 substation slab, fiberglass grating, and small metal stair case Current Location South of T130 trailer complex Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of t | | | | | | | |
| Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred 132 substation slab, fiberglass grating, and small metal stair case Current Location South of T130 trailer complex Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Kaowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By Signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By Signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By Signing below II agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By Signing below II agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By Signing below II agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluati | | | | | | | |
| Stair case Current Location South of T130 trailer complex Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation. Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth Part II of this release evaluation Date Office Ext: 2823 PART II RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Part II of this release evaluation. Date Od/23/1 Ext: 2823 PART II RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| History/Process Knowledge The indicated slab grating, and stair case were used as the foundation for an electrical substation providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth Se | | | | | | | |
| providing service to RFETS. The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns. The associated equipment has been removed and unrestricted released under Waste Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth Emp No Date O4230 Ext: 2823 PART II RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Emp No Date Office I Ext: 2823 PART II RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Emp No Date O4236 / Ext: 2823 PART II SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| PART II RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| Process history and previous radiological surveys of associated equipment indicate no radiological concerns for the items listed above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| above. The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 As a result, no | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1) Custodian, ensure that only the items described are included as a part of this release evaluation. Ensure that all soil is removed from the collected concrete upon demolition, prior to recycle, reuse, or waste disposal. | | | | | | | |
| Custodian, retain a copy of all documents required by this release evaluation. The sender/custodian will be responsible for ensuring a copy of this release evaluation is available for auditing/due diligence purposes | | | | | | | |
| 3) Radiological Engineer process release evaluation to indicate an unrestricted free-release. Sign all appropriate documentation required for the disposition of the affected items | | | | | | | |

Rev 08/98

@RAH

NOTICE:

"BEST AVAILABLE COPY"

PORTIONS OF THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT ARE ILLEGIBLE

The Administrative Record Staff

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

| Release Evaluation # 010404-00116-001 | COPY | Page 2 of 12 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| Evaluated Jay M Britten / Jay Britten Emp No Radiological Engineer | Date 4/23/01 E | xt: <u>3050</u> |
| APPROVAL FOR TRANS | FER/SHIPMENT | |
| Approved Chad Blake / CBLAL Emp No Radiological Engineer | Date: CALCA OL E. | a. <u>5909</u> |

Release Evaluation for Waste

A Release Evaluation for Waste requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. The evaluation signature is by the Radiological Engineer (RE) providing the methods or criteria for unrestricted release (i.e. survey requirements, analytical requirements, no survey required, etc.) The unrestricted release approval signature for a Release Evaluation for Waste shall be a RE authorized to provide unrestricted release approval. In addition, the evaluation and unrestricted release approval signatures shall not be the same RE. The intent of this provision is to provide peer review of the evaluation and method of unrestricted release. It is important the RE take the peer review process seriously and not become a "rubber stamp" for their fellow engineer.

Release Evaluation for Property

A Release Evaluation for Property requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. For a Release Evaluation for Property, the evaluation and unrestricted release signature may be the same RE. In the past, only one signature was required for property for which a RE could provide an unrestricted release on the basis of process knowledge/history.

Release Evaluation for Samples

Samples are any waste or material that is being shipped to an off-site facility for analysis. Samples that may be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques may be authorized for shipment to an off site facility using the signatory requirements specified for property. Samples which cannot be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques shall be authorized for shipment from the Site using the methodology specified for waste 1 e second signature being provided by a RE authorized to perform peer review and approval for shipment.

Rev 08/98

11

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

Release Evaluation # 010404-00116-001

COPY

Page 3 of 17

The approval for transfer/shipment section of a Sample Release Evaluation (SRE) shall be revised as noted below for samples which cannot be provide with an unrestricted release

The samples specified in Part 1 of this release evaluation are being provided with authorization for transport as non radioactive materials in accordance with Department of Transportation (49 CFR) regulation. This authorization for shipment does not constitute an unrestricted release

Additional Documentation

Number of lines per section may be modified or additional pages attached to ensure adequate documentation of information necessary to perform release evaluation.

Additional pages or attachments to a release evaluation shall have the evaluation number Page __ of __, initials of Radiological Engineer signing approval for transfer/shipment and date

2

Rev 08/98

@RAH

SHI SURVEY DEFERMINATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND WORKER EXPOSURE FORM

| The pure III I ii i I provide potential hazard information precautions and requirements associate | ted with this soil |
|--|--------------------|
| disturb ii WI II VI W iii I ipproval is complete please return to the Excavation Specialist. | 40/17 |
| GENERAL INFORMATION COMPANION | 100- JR00 - 10 |
| 01041 | 100-1400 - PC |
| Date N v 11k1 7 2(NX) Charge # | |
| Project Mara 1 (11ry Purson EXT 4197/212 5508 | |
| Project Little Removal of 132 Sub Station Slab | |
| Specific 1 seate in 132 | |
| | |
| | |
| REVIEW AND ALI ROVAL | |
| THE ARE NO ENVIRONMENT | |
| ENVIRONMINIAL REMEDIATION PROJECTS/OPERATIONS CONCERNS SAFEA | of the |
| Are there any special environmental remediation precautions or management actions (i.e. sampling | □Yes ☑ No |
| plans etc) required for this activity? If yes, attach a summary of requirements | |
| Name (Print/Sign Nick S. Desus 7 M. Cy Date 11-8-00 | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS & STEWARDSHIP WPRE Valure | in Cherota |
| Are there any special environmental compliance precautions or management actions (i.e. sampling | ☑Yes □ No |
| plans, etc) required for this activity? If yes, attach a summary of requirements | |
| Name (Print/Sign C & Sullive Market) Date 11-08-00 | |
| Name (Print/Sign & Sollien Market Date 11-08-00) RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING WRE required for release of waste | |
| Are there any special radiological precautions or management actions (i.e. sampling plans etc.) required for this activity? If yes, attach a summary of requirements | □Yes ETNo |
| Name (Print/Sign Chad Blake / CPolake Date 1/08/00 | |
| SAFETY AND HEALTH | |
| Are there any special safety and health precautions or management actions (i.e. sampling plans, etc.) required for this activity? If yes, attach a summary of requirements | Yes 🗆 No |
| Name (Print/Sign) - 205 m // Date 11/8/00 | |

| | | | | 1 02 |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | Property | Weste Sample |
| RE | LEASE EVAI | LUATION | | Page 5 0 + 12 010404 00116-601 |
| Release Evaluation No: 000307-00334-01 | Extended: No | Expires N | VA Charge | No: CA0110CC |
| IARTI | | SENI | er/Custodian A | CKNOWLEDGRMENT |
| Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be instor/transmitter units and current/voltage train | | 1. 115/13 8 kV | transformer and motor | station, meter station includes |
| Current Location Substation 132, south of T1 | 30C | 10 | YORK | GINAI |
| Destination, Public Service Company of Color | | | • | |
| New Recipient/Custodian. Dick Blatnick, 303 | -571 3191 | | | |
| History/Process Knowledge: This equipment is unstalled in 1990 | s owned by Public Sc | ervico Compan | y It has been in its our | rent location since (inst |
| Has the specified material ever been in an RBA | VRMIMA/CA or con | tacted DOR or | entrolled radioactive ma | terials? NO |
| 1) By signing below I certify the information p 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the | movided in Part I of (a specific requiremen | ihis release eva ats noted in Pa | llustion to be true and s rt II of this release evab | oourale. sation. |
| Scudor/Custodian. | limp. No: | | Date: 4/10/00 | |
| PART II | | | RADIOLOG | ICAL ENGINEERING |
| SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR C | OMMENTS | | | |
| Project requests a representative survey of | accessible surfaces | for fixed and | removable alpha and | beta. |
| Fvaluated Wamaina Radiological Engineer | Rmp | No- | Date: 4/10 | 100 Post: 8186 |
| AF | PROVAL FOR TE | ANSFERSH | IPMENT | |
| The property/waste specified above 12 being put the destination indicated in Part I of this Release | rovided with an unite st. Evaluation. | stricted release | from radiological contr | rols and may be transferred to |
| Approved | Rmp. | No | Denc: <u>5/2/</u> | 60 Red. 8186 |

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

Release Evaluation # 000307-00334-01 Page 2 of 2

COPYERIGINAL

Release Evaluation for Waste.

A Release Evaluation for Waste requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. The evaluation signature is by the Radiological Engineer (RE) providing the methods or enteria for unrestricted release (i.e., survey requirements, analytical requirements, no survey required etc.) The unrestricted release approval signature for a Release Livaluation for Waste shall be a RE authorized to provide unrestricted release approval. In addition, the evaluation and unrestricted release approval signatures shall not be the same RE. The intent of this provision is to provide peer review of the evaluation and method of unrestricted release. It is important the RE take the peer review process seriously and not become a "rubber stamp" for their fellow engineer.

Release Evaluation for Property:

A Release Evaluation for Property requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. For a Release Evaluation for Property the evaluation and unrestricted release signature may be the same RE. In the past, only one signature was required for property for which a RE could provide an unrestricted release on the basis of process knowledge/history

Release Evaluation for Samples.

Samples are any waste or material that is being shipped to an off-site facility for analysis. Samples that may be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques may be authorized for shipment to an off-site facility using the signatory requirements specified for property. Samples which cannot be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques shall be authorized for shipment from the Site using the methodology specified for waste, i.e. second signature being provided by a RE authorized to perform peer review and approval for shipment.

The approval for transfer/shipment section of a Sample Release Evaluation (SRE) shall be revised as noted below for samples which cannot be provide with an unrestricted release.

The vamples specified in Part 1 of this release evaluation are being provided with authorization for transport as non-radioactive materials in accordance with Department of Transportation (49 CFR) regulation. This authorization for shipment does not constitute an unrestricted release.

Additional Documentation:

Number of lines per section may be modified or additional pages attached to ensure adequate documentation of information necessary to perform release evaluation.

Additional pages or attachments to a release evaluation shall have the evaluation number Page __ of __ nitials of Radiological Engineer signing approval for transfer/shipment and date.

Rev 08/98

GRAII

10404-00116-001 RS FORMS 07-02-03

| ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE INSRUMENT DATA INSRUMENT D | | 209 | = 10 | £6 | 77 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1 | | | | | | | | | | 810404-1 | RM: | 07. | 02-0 | 1 |
|--|----------|--|-------------------|----------------------|--|----------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------|--------------|
| No. Mig. RBERLINE Mig. Mig. NE Electra Survey Type: Constandation Constandation Constandation Constandation Mig. Mig. Mig. Mig. Die Constandation Mig. Mig. Mig. Die Constandation Mig. Mig. Die Constandation Die Constandation Mig. Die Constandation Die | | | | R | OCKY F | LAT | SE | NVI | RO | NM | EN | TAL 1 | TEĆHN | OL | OGY SITE | | | | |
| Model Sac-4 Model Sac-4 Model DP-6 Sertalii S30 Sortalii S40 S70 S60 | | - | · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| Serralij 830 Serralij 959 Serralij 1144 Location Detro/100 Cal Duo 09/05/00 Cal Duo O9/05/00 | Mfg | HBER | LINE | Mfg Bl | BERLINE | Mfg. | | NB | doct | 72 | Sei | vcy Ty | pe: | Oss | amination | | | | |
| Seralif 830 Seralif 959 Serialif 1144 Location Substation 132, south of T130C | Mode | <u> </u> | Sac-4 | Model | Sac-4 | Mod | cl | D | P-6 | | _ | | | | N/A | | | | |
| Cal Duo 0407/90 Cal Duo 050060 Cal Duo 07/24/00 Bkg. 0 - 1 p. 650 | | | | | | | 1# | 11 | 144 | | 1.00 | alion. | Subst | tion | 132, south of Ti | 30C | | | |
| Efficiency 0.33 Efficiency 0.33 Efficiency 0.33 Efficiency 0.33 Efficiency 0.34 Efficiency 0.35 Efficiency | • | _ | | | 09/06/00 | Call | Duo_ | 07/2 | 28/00 | | Pur | pose: | Proc F | Color | es of transformer | | | | |
| MDA 20 dpm MFg MDA 20 dpm MFg N/A Model BC-4 MOdel MDA Serialij MDA Serial | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: 05/02/00 Thro: 1300 | | - | | | | | iency | / <u>a></u> | <u> 22 p</u> | 30 | RW | /1 # _ | N/A | | | | | | |
| Mfg. EBBRLINE Mfg. EBBRLINE Mfg. Model BC4 MOD Sorially N/A Print name Signature Emp # Cal Duo 050000 Cal Duo DNA N/A RCT R. Hall RCT R. Hall But yet | MDA | 20 | dpm | MDA_ | 20 dpm | MDA | ١ | a=34 | β - 37 | 3 | ł | | | | | | | | |
| Model BC-4 Model BC-4 Model N/A Scrialf 702 Sortalf N/A Print name Signature Emp # | | | | | | | | | | | Dat | ø: _ | 05/02 | 100 | Toppo- | اا | <u>/130(</u> |) | _ |
| Serialis 914 Serialis 702 Serialis N/A | | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | _ | | _ | ונע. | | 1 | | |
| Cal Due 0500700 Cal Due 0500900 Cal Due N/A Bkg 37 cpm 8kg 40 cpm Rkg N/A Efficiency 0.25 Eff | | | | | | | | | _ | | RC | T | | | 1 75 | <u> 97/</u> | | / | |
| Bkg. 37 cpm Bkg. 40 cpm Rkg. N/A RCT R. Hall Print name Signature Emp. 4 MDA 200 dpm | | | | | | | | | | | l | | Print nam | 16 | Signa | ture | | Ba | np # |
| Efficiency 0.25 Efficiency 0.25 Efficiency N/A MDA 200 dpm MDA 200 dpm MDA N/A Release Evaluation No 00307-00334-01 Comments. A representative survey of accessible surfaces for fixed and reasonable alpha and bota was performed on the transformer and associated equipment received and reasonable alpha and bota was performed on the transformer and associated equipment received and reasonable alpha and bota was performed on the transformer and associated equipment received and reasonable alpha and bota was performed on the transformer and associated equipment received grabs for the transformer **SURVEY RESULTS** **SURVEY RESULTS** **SURVEY RESULTS** **SURVEY RESULTS** **SURVEY RESULTS** **SURVEY RESULTS** **Apable that Alpha Bata # Reasonable to DPhM100ag on Alpha Bata # Reasonable to DPhM1 | | | | | | • |)pc | | | | | _ | | | . 11 | 1. 1 | 1 | | |
| MDA 200 dpm MDA 200 dpm MDA N/A Release Evaluation No 000337-00334-01 Release Evaluation No 000337-0034-01 Release Evaluation No 000337-0034- | | | | | | | | | | | KC | · | | | | LEI | | /# | |
| Release Evaluation No 000307-00334-01 | | | | | | • | | _ | | | ļ | | Print nam | 8 | Signa | turc | | En | πρ, # |
| Consissents. A representative survey of accessible surfaces for fixed and reservable alpha and bota was performed on the transformer and associated equipment set cables, insulators, make load. Survey was only done on surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the grate for the transformer. Surfaces that could be reached from standing on the grate for the transformer. Total | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | - | | | | | |
| Depth control on the transformer and associated equipment is cables, insulators, meter box. Survey was only done on surfaces that could be reached from standing on the ground or insulated grate for the transformer SURVEY RESULTS Fig. | - | | | | | | of ac | | ء حاط | | E | e fired | | _1. | alaba and bata | | | | |
| Survive Location/Description Emission Total Total Survive Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal Total Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal Total Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal Total Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal Internal Reside in DPM/100q cm Alpha Internal | | | · | nsform | er and assect | interior | ANITE AND | meni | 1040 3 | Capi | 200 10 | TIXOU | males be | 2080 | rappea and octa w | 13 | | | |
| Survey Result Survey Result Survey Result Survey Location/Description Remarks in Direction Direction Remarks in Dir | SUTING | es th | at could | oc reach | ed from sta | nding (| on th | C PATO | und i | OF ID | es, m | d orate | Ove then tre | ne de | cover was orny do | me on | | | |
| Location/Description Removable Total Subject Location/Description Removable Total Subject Removable Total | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramits in DPM/100q cm Alpha Into Alpha | Swine | | Loca | tion/Desc | ristina | 7 | 7- | - | | | | | | | | 7. | | | |
| 1 Soc attached drawing | | | | | • | | _ | _ | _ | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | _ | | | | - CONTRACTOR | - | | | | | | | 800 | | nou oranning | _ | _ | _ | |
| 4 | | | | | | - | | - | | _ | _ | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | _ | | | - |
| 5 | _ | | | | | | _ | _ | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | - |
| Column | _ | | | | | | | _ | Ī | | | | | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | _ |
| 1 | _ | | | | | - | | _ | 1 | _ | _ | | | - | | _ | | | |
| 8 | - | | | | | -+ | _ | _ | į | _ | _ | | | | | _ | | | _ |
| 9 | - | | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 10 | _ | | | - † - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | _ |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| 12 | _ | | | | | | | $\overline{}$ | į | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | - | | | | ļ | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | ı | _ | | | | | | 긕 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | _ | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 Scc stacked drawing <20 <200 <4 <373 40 Separated frame < | | | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | j | |
| Date Reviewed 5-2-00 RS Supervision. B WILLIAMSON | | | | | 11. | | | | | | | | | | , A - A - A | _ | | | |
| | | 2-1- | | | | | | | | | | | Bog | | | (20 | <200 | <34 | 313 |
| | ran: I | | ₩cm | , -4-0 | 10 5 | arfict. | 12101 | . _ | | עוני | | Marin Marin | -L | ¥ | | | | Ren | |

A STATE OF THE STA

Rov 05/98

FAX NO

Page & of 12 010404-0016-001

NA 5-2-00

17

Rege 9,41L 61646-6616-001 RS FORMS 07 02-01

PAGE 3 of 6 ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE RADIOLOGICAL SAFETY Continuation Sheet SURVEY RESULTS Location/Duscription Total Total Location/Description Results in DPM/100CM² Deta Results in DPM/100CM Alphe Hote Bete **2**0 <200 <3<u>73</u> See attached drawing <34 **47**. **34** 77 **~20** <200 See attached drawing 41 <20 <200 4 <34 <373 78 <20 <200 42 **4** 77 <20 **200** <34 **373** 43 <200 34 **~20** 80 **⊘**0 **200** <34 <373 44 <20 **34** <20 <200 4 <373 81 45 **<20 34** <200 <34 <373 82 46 **~20 <200** 34 <34 **<773** 83 <200 47 <20 <200 <34 **47**3 **34 373 \$4 ~**20 **200** 48 34 37 <20 **200** Soo attached drawing <200 **34 <773 \$**5 49 WA NA NA NA **-34 373** 86 <20 **<200** 50 WA NA NYA NA NVA <20 <200 <34 **<373** 87 51 NA WA WA NA 22 <34 **<373 5**2 NA NA NA NA **200** 4 **37**3 89 **5**3 NA NA NA W۸ 4 NA **~20** <200 90 <373 54 NA W٨ NA **420 200** <34 **373** 91 55 NA WA N۸ <34 92 **420** <200 **473** 56 NA NA NA **<20** <34 **4373** 93 NA <200 57 WA NA WA 34 **4373** 94 NA **20** 53 N٨ NVA NKA NA 20 <34 <373 95 **57** NA NA NA NA **20** <200 <34 **<373** 68 NA NA NA W٨ N/A **4**0 <200 **3**4 <373 97 61 NA WA N۸ WA NA <200 <34 **<37**3 98 <20 62 NA WA NA N/A 63 <20 <200 <34 **<373** 99 NA WA NVA N٨ **34** <373 100 <20 <200 64 NVA WA WA <20 <34 373 101 <200 65 NA WA NA N۸ **20** <200 <34 **<373** 102 66 NA NVA NA. NA <20 <200 34 **<373** 163 67 WA NA N۸ WA 20 <200 <34 **473** 104 8 NA NA N۸ WA **~20** <200 **34** 105 NA **37**3 6 NA NA N/A NA N۸ **34 <373** 106 <20 <200 70 NA N/A WA <373 NA **<20 ₹00** <34 107 71 NA NA WA NA **Q**0 <200 <34 **473** 100 72 W۸ N۸ NA 4 47 107 73 <20 NA WA NA <34 **473** 110 74 NA NA <20 <200 <34 **<373** 111 75 NA NA NA

RS Supervision. B WILLIAMSOM Date Reviewed: 5-2-00 Print Name Rev 05/98

See attached drawing

Signature

WA

Bmp. #



34 **473**


200

Page 4 of 6

By 10.612 010404-60116-001

NA 5-2-00

Page 5 of 6



Page 6 of 6 BKg 1 512 PV 5/2/00

Page 12 of 12 010AOA-CO116-601

SwipE #

1 15 - Enst sion of tamestinance

16-30 - North son of trinturner

31-45 - WEST SOE of FRANSFORMER

46-60 - Suth sion of HANNSTORMER

61-85 - Accassible mus Equipment, Mater Box, ONSIA, No leters etc

RANDOM SURVEY PRINT WERE LAKEN to get A
REPROSENTATIVE SAMPLE OF TRANSCORMER AND MISE
Equipment

. .

Common Co

The items in this report include the concrete pads surrounding Buildings 111 and 333 and steam line stanchions around these same buildings. These items no longer support the RFETS mission and need to be removed to reduce Site infrastructure risks and/or operating costs. The locations of these items are shown in Attachment A. The characterization was based on physical chemical and radiological hazards identified in area specific Historical Site Assessment Reports, and on radiological screens conducted to demonstrate the material can be free released to a sanitary waste landfill. The pads will be dispositioned pursuant to the Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA) Environmental media beneath and surrounding the pads were not within the scope of this report.

A historical review was conducted to understand history and related hazards associated with the various concrete pad areas. The review consisted of a walkdown and a document review including review of the Historical Release Report (refer to the D&D Characterization Protocol, MAN-077 DDCP)

Based upon a review of historical and process knowledge and visual inspections additional sampling was determined not to be necessary for chemical contaminants of concern Following is the information to support this position

Asbestos

Based on the fact that these are concrete pads with no coatings there is no concern for the presence of Suspect Asbestos Containing Materials

Beryllium

There is no record of beryllium operations or storage being conducted in the area where these pads are located. The historical evidence shows the pads are not located near buildings that conducted beryllium operations therefore the pads are not impacted by beryllium operations conducted elsewhere on site.

RCRA/CERCLA Constituents [including metals and volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds (VOCs & SVOCs)]

Based on historical knowledge no operations occurred with RCRA or CERCLA constituents on the pads. The pads are not painted, therefore concerns of lead based paint are not an issue. Visual inspections revealed no chemical staining of the pads. Therefore, in accordance with 8 CCR 1007 3 Parts 261 and 268 and 40 CFR 302 4 the concrete pad material is not subject to RCRA or CERCLA regulations.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Based on historical knowledge and visual observation, the concrete material does not contain nor is it coated with material that contains PCBs. There is no history of PCB contamination from past spill/releases nor was PCB containing liquids managed in this area. Therefore the concrete material is not subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 761


Radiological characterization was performed to define the nature and extent of radioactive materials that may be present on the pads. The Property Release Evaluation (PRE) confirmed that the pads do not contain radiological contamination above the surface contamination guidelines provided in DOE Order 5400 5 and the RFETS Radiological Control Manual

Based upon this report and subject to concurrence by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) the concrete pads are considered to be Type 1 facilities, and will be removed In accordance with Section 2 2 of MAN-077 DDCP if PDS requirements are met and the


absence of contamination is confirmed, the facility can be free-released." It is anticipated that the concrete from these pads will be placed with the concrete from the demolition of Building 111 to be used as free-releasable concrete for backfill on site in accordance with the Concrete RSOP


ATTACHMENT A

Facility Photographs/Maps and PREs




A3 2 - Building 333 Boundary / Laydown Area





PHOTOGRAPH 333.3 - Northwest Corner of Building 333 looking East.



PHOTOGRAPH 333 4 - Pad to the West of Building 333 looking East.

| | | Property | Waste | Sample | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| RELEASE EVALUATION Page 1 of 4 | N FORM | 1 | | | | | |
| Release Evaluation No 010404-00116-003 EXTENDED No EX | XPIRES | N/A | Charge No | N/A | | | |
| PART I SE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | ENDER/CU | STODIAN | | | | | |
| Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred Miscoline, light pole, and flower pot footings and sidewalk access at B111 Also mi metal steam lines, metal stair case, metal grating, two air compressor and miscolines. | iscellaneous | metal suppor | t poles, wood l | | | | |
| Current Location B111 | | | | | | | |
| Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper | landfill. | | | | | | |
| New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 | | | - | | | | |
| History/Process Knowledge: The indicated concrete slabs and footings were a posts, and sidewalks for building access. The associated metal light poles, stea has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected so concerns. In addition, all Pre-Demolition Surveys did not indicate exterior con be removed and disposed according to site requirements. | am lines, and oil disturbanc | l cables also s ce permit, ind | erviced B111 icate no radiolo | The area | | | |
| Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials?No | | | | | | | |
| 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release eval. 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in | | | | | | | |
| Sender/Custodian Cameron Frieboth / Jay 14-71 Emp No | | Dete 04/23 | 61 Ext: 28 | <i>IJ</i> | | | |
| PART II | | RADIOLO | GICAL ENGI | NEERING | | | |
| SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS | | | | | | | |
| Process history indicates no radiological concerns for the concrete slabs and a which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological Area as defined in conducted in association with pre-demolition surveys of B111 did not indicate limits of the Site Rad. Con. Manual. As a result, no radiological surveys are rematerials may be reused or disposed of in accordance with site procedures, with underside of the concrete will not require radiological surveys due to the soil disposers (copy of permit is included as an attachment to this release evaluation | 10CFR835 any exterior equired prior thout further listurbance p | In addition, contamination to unrestrice radiological | all exterior surv on in excess of 1 sted release. Th characterizatio | veys Table 2 2 nese nn. The | | | |
| 1) Custodian, ensure that only the items described are included as a part of the removed from the collected concrete upon demolition prior to recycle, re- | | | sure that all so | ll is | | | |
| 2) Custodian, retain a copy of all documents required by this release evaluati ensuring a copy of this release evaluation is available for auditing/due dili | | | ı will be respon | sible for | | | |
| 3) Radiological Engineer process release evaluation to indicate an unrestrict documentation required for the disposition of the affected items. | ted free-rele | ease. Sign all | appropriate | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Rev 08/98

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

| Release Evaluation # 010404-00116-003 Page 2 of 4 |
|--|
| Evaluated Jay M Britten / Emp No Radiological Engineer Emp No Radiological Engineer |
| APPROVAL FOR TRANSFER/SHIPMENT |
| Approved Chac Blake / Chlake Emp No Radiological Engineer Emp No Radiological Engineer |

Release Evaluation for Waste

A Release Evaluation for Waste requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. The evaluation signature is by the Radiological Engineer (RE) providing the methods or criteria for unrestricted release (i.e. survey requirements analytical requirements, no survey required, etc.) The unrestricted release approval signature for a Release Evaluation for Waste shall be a RE authorized to provide unrestricted release approval. In addition, the evaluation and unrestricted release approval signatures shall not be the same RE. The intent of this provision is to provide peer review of the evaluation and method of unrestricted release. It is important the RE take the peer review process seriously and not become a "rubber stamp" for their fellow engineer.

Release Evaluation for Property

A Release Evaluation for Property requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. For a Release Evaluation for Property, the evaluation and unrestricted release signature may be the same RE. In the past, only one signature was required for property for which a RE could provide an unrestricted release on the basis of process knowledge/history.

Release Evaluation for Samples

Samples are any waste or material that is being shipped to an off-site facility for analysis. Samples that may be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques may be authorized for shipment to an off-site facility using the signatory requirements specified for property. Samples which cannot be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques shall be authorized for shipment from the Site using the methodology specified for waste 1 e second signature being provided by a RE authorized to perform peer review and approval for shipment.

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

Release Evaluation # __010404-00116-003

COPY

Page 3 of <u>4</u>

The approval for transfer/shipment section of a Sample Release Evaluation (SRE) shall be revised as noted below for samples which cannot be provide with an unrestricted release

The samples specified in Part 1 of this release evaluation are being provided with authorization for transport as non radioactive materials in accordance with Department of Transportation (49 CFR) regulation. This authorization for shipment does not constitute an unrestricted release

Additional Documentation

Number of lines per section may be modified or additional pages attached to ensure adequate documentation of information necessary to perform release evaluation.

Additional pages or attachments to a release evaluation shall have the evaluation number Page __ of __, initials of Radiological Engineer signing approval for transfer/shipment and date

35

Rev 08/98

@RAH

Page 4 of 4 010404-00114-003



Soil Disturbance Site Survey Assessment Report

Authorization Number

EFD30612

Project Title

Building 111 D & D

Prepared By

Chad Blake, Radiological Engineer RISS X5909

Radiological Engineering

CB

Number-

005-01

Date

January 24 2001

This soil disturbance package addresses the removal of the foundation walls to approximately 3 feet below ground level, the removal of steam lines footings and the capping of buried utility lines (see attached documentation)

The planned work location is not within an Individual Hazardous Substance Site (IHSS) see environmental assessment for Potential Area of Concern (PAC) information. Historical information and process knowledge indicate that the radiological soil concentration in this area is at or near background levels (well below Tier II action levels) therefore a Radiological Work Permit will not be required to perform this work.

There are additional Radiological Protection requirements for the unrestricted release of materials from RFETS The requirements are as follows

• All wastes generated and property/equipment/samples associated with this project (including excavation equipment) must be evaluated in accordance with PRO-141 RSP 09 01 Unrestricted Release of Property Material, Equipment and Waste, and PRO-1004 RSP-09 08 Radioactive Material Transfer and Unrestricted Release of Property Waste and Samples or RFETS pre-demolition survey plan for D&D facilities.

The area in which work will be conducted is controlled by RISS A copy of this correspondence has been sent to Curtis Bean, RISS Radiological Safety Manager Please contact Curtis at X2069 for further radiological support.

Written direction from K-H Environmental Compliance (Greg Sollner x3541) is required to disposition soils

Deviations from the currently defined scope of work will require further evaluation by Radiological Engineering

If any unusual material/debris is encountered during this excavation, work must be stopped and RISS Radiological Engineering and Operations notified for evaluation prior to continuing

B111 D&D

| Property Waste Sample RELEASE EVALUATION FORM Page 1 of 4 Release Evaluation No 010404-00116-004 EXTENDED No EXPIRES N/A Charge No N/A PART I SENDER/CUSTODIAN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RELEASE EVALUATION FORM Page 1 of _4 Release Evaluation No010404-00116-004 EXTENDEDNo EXPIRESN/A Charge NoN/A PART I SENDER/CUSTODIAN | | | | | | | |
| Page 1 of | | | | | | | |
| Release Evaluation No 010404-00116-004 EXTENDED No EXPIRES N/A Charge No N/A PART I SENDER/CUSTODIAN | | | | | | | |
| PART I SENDER/CUSTODIAN | | | | | | | |
| ODNODIO OD | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred Miscellaneous, small concrete slabs utilized for steam line, light pole, and sidewalk access at B333 Also miscellaneous metal support poles, and metal steam lines. | | | | | | | |
| Current Location B333 | | | | | | | |
| Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a proper landfill. | | | | | | | |
| New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 | | | | | | | |
| History/Process Knowledge The indicated concrete slabs and footings were used as the foundation for B333 steam lines, light | | | | | | | |
| posts, and sidewalks for building access. The associated metal support poles and steam lines also serviced B333 The area has never been posted as a RBA/CA, and site documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological concerns | | | | | | | |
| Also, Pre-Demolition surveys indicated no exterior contamination of B333 All associated equipment will be removed and | | | | | | | |
| disposed according to site requirements | | | | | | | |
| Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No | | | | | | | |
| Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No | | | | | | | |
| By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sender/Custodian Cameron Frieboth / Paragraff Emp No Date Of 23/1 Ext: 2323 | | | | | | | |
| PART II RADIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING | | | | | | | |
| SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS | | | | | | | |
| Process history indicates no radiological concerns for the concrete slabs and associated equipment listed above. The area in | | | | | | | |
| which these materials were utilized is not a "Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835 In addition, all exterior surveys | | | | | | | |
| conducted in association with pre-demolition surveys of B333 did not indicate any exterior contamination in excess of Table 2 2 | | | | | | | |
| limits of the Site Rad. Con. Manual As a result, no radiological surveys are required prior to unrestricted release. These materials may be reused or disposed of in accordance with site procedures, without further radiological characterization. The | | | | | | | |
| underside of the concrete will not require radiological surveys due to the soil disturbance permit indicating no radiological | | | | | | | |
| concerns (copy of permit is included as an attachment to this release evaluation) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Custodian, ensure that only the items described are included as a part of this release evaluation. Ensure that all soil is removed from the collected concrete upon demolition prior to recycle, reuse, or waste disposal. | | | | | | | |
| Custodian, retain a copy of all documents required by this release evaluation. The sender/custodian will be responsible for ensuring a copy of this release evaluation is available for auditing/due diligence purposes. | | | | | | | |
| Radiological Engineer process release evaluation to inducate an unrestricted free-release. Sign all appropriate documentation required for the disposition of the affected items. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Rev 08/98

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

| Release Evaluation #010404-00116-004 | COPY | Page 2 of |
|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Evaluated. Jay M Britten / Demp No Radiological Engineer | Date 4/73/01 1 | Ext. <u>3050</u> |
| APPROVAL FOR TRANSP | FER/SHIPMENT | |
| Approved Chad Blake / Chahe Emp No Radiological Engineer | Date 64 23 01 E | ixt. <u>5909</u> |

Release Evaluation for Waste

A Release Evaluation for Waste requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. The evaluation signature is by the Radiological Engineer (RE) providing the methods or criteria for unrestricted release (i.e. survey requirements, analytical requirements, no survey required, etc.) The unrestricted release approval signature for a Release Evaluation for Waste shall be a RE authorized to provide unrestricted release approval. In addition, the evaluation and unrestricted release approval signatures shall not be the same RE. The intent of this provision is to provide peer review of the evaluation and method of unrestricted release. It is important the RE take the peer review process seriously and not become a "rubber stamp" for their fellow engineer.

Release Evaluation for Property

A Release Evaluation for Property requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. For a Release Evaluation for Property, the evaluation and unrestricted release signature may be the same RE. In the past, only one signature was required for property for which a RE could provide an unrestricted release on the basis of process knowledge/history.

Release Evaluation for Samples

Samples are any waste or material that is being shipped to an off site facility for analysis. Samples that may be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques may be authorized for shipment to an off site facility using the signatory requirements specified for property. Samples which cannot be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques shall be authorized for shipment from the Site using the methodology specified for waste in elease using provided by a RE authorized to perform peer review and approval for shipment.

38

Rev 08/98

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

Release Evaluation # 010404-00116-004

COPY

Page 3 of <u></u>

The approval for transfer/shipment section of a Sample Release Evaluation (SRE) shall be revised as noted below for samples which cannot be provide with an unrestricted release

The samples specified in Part 1 of this release evaluation are being provided with authorization for transport as non radioactive materials in accordance with Department of Transportation (49 CFR) regulation. This authorization for shipment does not constitute an unrestricted release

Additional Documentation

Number of lines per section may be modified or additional pages attached to ensure adequate documentation of information necessary to perform release evaluation

Additional pages or attachments to a release evaluation shall have the evaluation number Page __ of __, initials of Radiological Engineer signing approval for transfer/shipment and date





Soil Disturbance Site Survey Assessment Report

Authorization Number

EFD30612

Project Title

Building 333 D & D

Prepared By

Chad Blake, Radiological Engineer, RISS X5909

Radiological Engineering

CB

Number:

006-01

Date

January 24 2001

This soil disturbance package addresses the removal of the foundation walls to approximately 3 feet below ground level and the capping of buried utility lines (see attached documentation)

The planned work location is not within an Individual Hazardous Substance Site (IHSS)

Potential Area of Concern (PAC) or Under Building Contamination (UBC) site Historical information and process knowledge indicate that the radiological soil concentration in this area is at or near background levels (well below Tier II action levels) therefore, a Radiological Work Permit will not be required to perform this work.

There are additional Radiological Protection requirements for the unrestricted release of materials from RFETS The requirements are as follows

All wastes generated and property/equipment/samples associated with this project (including excavation equipment) must be evaluated in accordance with PRO-141 RSP 09 01 Unrestricted Release of Property Material, Equipment and Waste, and PRO-1004 RSP-09 08 Radioactive Material Transfer and Unrestricted Release of Property, Waste and Samples or RFETS pre-demolition survey plan for D&D facilities

The area in which work will be conducted is controlled by RISS. A copy of this correspondence has been sent to Curtis Bean, RISS Radiological Safety Manager. Please contact Curtis at X2069 for further radiological support.

Written direction from K-H Environmental Compliance (Greg Sollner, x3541) is required to disposition soils

Deviations from the currently defined scope of work will require further evaluation by Radiological Engineering

If any unusual material/debris is encountered during this excavation, work must be stopped and RISS Radiological Engineering and Operations notified for evaluation prior to continuing

40

B333 D&D

Facilities in this report include the concrete pads for the Air Force towers. These pads no longer support the RFETS mission and need to be removed to reduce Site infrastructure risks and/or operating costs. The location of these pads is shown in Attachment A. The characterization was based on physical chemical and radiological hazards identified in the facility specific Historical Site Assessment Reports and on radiological screens conducted to demonstrate the material can be free released to a sanitary waste landfill. The pads will be dispositioned pursuant to the Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA). Environmental media beneath and surrounding the pads were not within the scope of this report.

A historical review was conducted to understand history and related hazards associated with the Air Force Tower The review consisted of a walkdown and a document review including review of the Historical Release Report (refer to the D&D Characterization Protocol, MAN-077 DDCP)

Based upon a review of historical and process knowledge and visual inspections additional sampling was determined not to be necessary for chemical contaminants of concern Following is the information to support this position

Asbestos

Based on the fact that these are concrete pads with no coatings there is no concern for the presence of Suspect Asbestos Containing Materials

Beryllium

There is no record of beryllium operations or storage being conducted in the Buffer Zone where these pads are located. The historical evidence shows the pads are not located near buildings that conducted beryllium operations therefore the pads are not impacted by beryllium operations conducted elsewhere on site.

RCRA/CERCLA Constituents [including metals and volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds (VOCs & SVOCs)]

Based on historical knowledge no operations occurred with RCRA or CERCLA constituents at or near the Air Force Tower pads. The pads are not painted, therefore concerns of lead based paint are not an issue. Visual inspections revealed no chemical staining of the pads. Therefore in accordance with 8 CCR 1007 3 Parts 261 and 268 and 40 CFR 302 4 the concrete pad material is not subject to RCRA or CERCLA regulations.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Based on historical knowledge and visual observation, the concrete material does not contain nor is it coated with material that contains PCBs. There is no history of PCB contamination from past spill/releases nor was PCB containing liquids managed in this area. Therefore the concrete material is not subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 761

It should be noted that although these pads are near the area that was once part of the east spray field project, these pads are not in an IHSS or PAC Therefore no sampling or soil remediation is required

Radiological characterization was performed to define the nature and extent of radioactive materials that may be present on the pads. The Property Release Evaluation (PRE) confirmed that the pads do not contain radiological contamination above the surface contamination guidelines provided in DOE Order 5400 5 and the RFETS Radiological Control Manual

Based upon this report and subject to concurrence by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) the Air Force Tower pads are considered to be Type 1 facilities and will be removed. In accordance with Section 2.2 of MAN-077 DDCP "if PDS requirements are met and the absence of contamination is confirmed, the facility can be free-released.

ATTACHMENT A

Facility Photographs/Maps and PREs

| | | Property | Waste | Sample | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | p.0 | | | | | |
| RELEASE EVALUATION | ON FORM | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Page 1 of | | | | | | | | | |
| Release Evaluation No. 010404-00116-002 EXTENDED No | EXPIRES _ | N/A | Charge No | N/A | | | | | |
| PART I ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | SENDER/CU | STODIAN | | | | | | | |
| Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred Mis buffer zone, and associated electrical poles, electrical equipment, and cables | scellaneous, sr | nall concrete | slabs located in | the east | | | | | |
| Current Location East buffer zone - GWEN tower area | | | | | | | | | |
| Destination Materials will be either reused on site or be disposed to a prope | r landfill | | | | | | | | |
| New Recipient/Custodian Cameron Freiboth, B116 X2823 | r secondaries | | | | | | | | |
| History/Process Knowledge. The indicated objects were used as the foundate | tion and electi | rical support f | or acral | | | | | | |
| telecommunication towers and a small shed for the Air Force at RFETS The | e area has nev | er been posted | l as a RBA/CA, | | | | | | |
| documents, including affected soil disturbance permit, indicate no radiological removed, and all radiological evaluation indicated no radiological concerns | al concerns. | The associated | equipment has | been | | | | | |
| removed, and an radiological evaluation indicated no radiological concerns | | | | | | | | | |
| Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE control | Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No | | | | | | | | |
| 1) December 1 and Complete and an array of the December and the December a | | . 4 | | | | | | | |
| 1) By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release ev 2) By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | |
| Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / Emp No | | ded | | . | | | | | |
| Sender/Custodian Cameron Freiboth / York World Emp No | 1 | sate. Oal vae | Ext. 282 | 23 | | | | | |
| PART II | RAD | IOLOGIC | AL ENGIN | EERING | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Process history of this area and prior associated equipment indicate no radio | | | | | | | | | |
| addition, the area in which the indicated waste is located is not a Radiologic never been stored or used in a radiological area. As a result, no radiological | | • | | | | | | | |
| These materials may be reused or disposed of in accordance with site procede | | | | | | | | | |
| The underside of the concrete will not require radiological surveys due to the | soil disturba | nce permit ind | licating no radio | ological | | | | | |
| concerns (copy of permit is included as an attachment to this release evaluati | • | | | uation | | | | | |
| (REN# 010309 RISS-001) for the prior associated equipment is provided as an attachment to <u>this</u> release evaluation. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Custodian, ensure that only the items described are included as a part of this release evaluation. Ensure that all soil is | | | | | | | | | |
| removed from the collected concrete upon demolition prior to recycle, reuse, or waste disposal | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Custodian, retain a copy of all documents required by this release evaluation. The sender/custodian will be responsible for ensuring a copy of this release evaluation is available for auditing/due diligence purposes | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Radiological Engineer process release evaluation to indicate an unrestri | icted free-relea | ıse. Sign all d | zppropriate | | | | | | |
| documentation required for the disposition of the affected item. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

J

Rev 08/98

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

| Release Evaluation #010404-00116-002 | COPY Page 2 of |
|--|------------------------|
| Evaluated. Jay M Britten / Limb Emp No Radiological Engineer | Date 4/23/0) Ext: 3050 |
| APPROVAL FOR TRAN | SFER/SHIPMENT |
| Approved. Charles Plake / Croloke Emp No Radiological Engineer | Date Applot Ext: 5909 |

Release Evaluation for Waste

A Release Evaluation for Waste requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. The evaluation signature is by the Radiological Engineer (RE) providing the methods or criteria for unrestricted release (i.e. survey requirements, analytical requirements no survey required, etc.) The unrestricted release approval signature for a Release Evaluation for Waste shall be a RE authorized to provide unrestricted release approval. In addition, the evaluation and unrestricted release approval signatures shall not be the same RE. The intent of this provision is to provide peer review of the evaluation and method of unrestricted release. It is important the RE take the peer review process seriously and not become a "rubber stamp" for their fellow engineer.

Release Evaluation for Property

A Release Evaluation for Property requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. For a Release Evaluation for Property, the evaluation and unrestricted release signature may be the same RE. In the past, only one signature was required for property for which a RE could provide an unrestricted release on the basis of process knowledge/history.

Release Evaluation for Samples

Samples are any waste or material that is being shipped to an off site facility for analysis. Samples that may be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques may be authorized for shipment to an off site facility using the signatory requirements specified for property. Samples which cannot be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques shall be authorized for shipment from the Site using the methodology specified for waste in elease using provided by a RE authorized to perform peer review and approval for shipment.

@RAH

Rev 08/98

3/98

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

Release Evaluation # 010404-00116-002

COPY

Page 3 of 7

The approval for transfer/shipment section of a Sample Release Evaluation (SRE) shall be revised as noted below for samples which cannot be provide with an unrestricted release

The samples specified in Part 1 of this release evaluation are being provided with authorization for transport as non radioactive materials in accordance with Department of Transportation (49 CFR) regulation. This authorization for shipment does not constitute an unrestricted release

Additional Documentation

Number of lines per section may be modified or additional pages attached to ensure adequate documentation of information necessary to perform release evaluation

Additional pages or attachments to a release evaluation shall have the evaluation number Page __ of __, initials of Radiological Engineer signing approval for transfer/shipment and date

52

@RAH

Rev 08/98



Remediation, Industrial D&D, & Site Services

Page 4 of 7 010404-00116-002

Soil Disturbance Site Survey Assessment Report

Authorization Number

EFB70200

Project Title

Clean Up GWEN Tower Area – East Buffer Zone

Prepared By

Chad Blake Radiological Engineer RISS X5909

Radiological Engineering

Number

011-01

Date

February 22 2001

This soil disturbance package addresses clean up efforts in the GWEN Tower area location in the East Buffer Zone (the attached work package includes maps of the proposed locations) Materials to be removed include posts anchors concrete slabs fences etc

The planned sampling locations are not within an Individual Hazardous Substance Site (IHSS) or Potential Area of Concern (PAC) Historical data and process knowledge indicate that radiological soil concentration levels in this area are well below RFCA Tier II Action Levels therefore entry into Soil Contamination Areas will not be required. A Radiological Work Permit will not be required to perform this work. Sampling is required in accordance with the attached environmental assessment however radiological analysis is not required.

The work will require entry into a Controlled Area (for radiological purposes) Entry and Exit requirements are as follows

- Successful completion of Visitor Orientation for unescorted entry of members of the general public
- General Employee Radiological Training
- There are no specific requirements for personnel exiting a Controlled Area
- All Buffer Zone activities must be scheduled on the Plan of the Day (contact Randy Guild X5302)

There are additional Radiological Protection requirements for the unrestricted release of materials from RFETS The requirements are as follows

• All wastes generated and property/equipment/samples associated with this project (including equipment, concrete waste etc.) must be evaluated in accordance with PRO 141 RSP 09 01 Unrestricted Release of Property Material Equipment and Waste and PRO 1004 RSP 09 08 Radioactive Material Transfer and Unrestricted Release of Property Waste and Samples

COPP laye 6 of 7
010404-06116-012

The area in which work will be conducted is controlled by RISS. A copy of this correspondence has been sent to Curtis Bean, RISS Radiological Safety Manager. Please contact Curtis at X2069 for further radiological support. Radiological Operations (RCT) support will always be available to the project on a call basis (frisking surveys etc.) but is not required. Radiological Engineering support will also be available to perform the required release evaluations listed above as well as to answer any questions the project may have. Please feel free to contact me at X5909.

Written direction from K H Environmental Compliance (Greg Sollner x3541) is required to disposition soils

Deviations from the currently defined scope of work will require further evaluation by Radiological Engineering

If any unusual material/debris is encountered during this excavation, work must be stopped and RISS Radiological Engineering and Operations notified for evaluation prior to continuing

| | COPY | Property | Waste | Sample |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|
| RELEASE EVALUATION FORM Page 1 of 2 | | | | |
| Release Evaluation No. 010309-RISS-001 | EXTENDED NA EXPIRES | NA | Charge No. | EFB70200 |
| PART I ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | SENDE | R/CUSTODIAN | | |
| Description of Property/Waste/Sample To Be Released/Transferred. Security Fencing, Chain Link Fabric, Vertical Fence Posts, Horizontal Brace Posts, Barbed Wire, Fence Gates (assembled), Fence Caps, Clamps, Bolt Hardware, Barbed Wire Extension Arms & Misc. Hardware. | | | | |
| Current Location. East Buffer Zone, North of Central Ave. (GWEN Tower Location) | | | | |
| Destination. PU&D | | | | |
| New Recipient/Custodian. PU&D Eric Fairchild, X3223 | | | | |
| History/Process Knowledge: Materials were used in support of Air Force "Ground Wave Emergancy Navigation" GWEN Tower The Tower and associated components were removed. The area is NOT an RMA/RBA/CA/SCA. | | | | |
| Has the specified material ever been in an RBA/CA or contacted DOE controlled radioactive materials? No | | | | |
| By signing below I certify information provided in Part I of this release evaluation to be true and accurate. By signing below I agree to comply with the specific requirements noted in Part II of this release evaluation. | | | | |
| Sender/Custodian. Al Helmick/ Deputy Emp No Branch Date: 03/09/01 Ext: 7604 | | | | |
| PART II | | RADIOLOG | ICAL ENGIN | EERING |
| SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND/OR COM | MMENTS | | | |
| The area in which these materials were utilized is not a Radiological" Area as defined in 10CFR835. The materials have never been used or stored in a radiological area. The materials can be resold or recycled under the current DOE Recycled Waste Moratorium. Based on history and process knowledge of the area, the materials specified in Part I of this evaluation may be free (unrestricted) released with no further radiological characterization required. | | | | |
| Bvaluated. Chad Blake/ CBLAK Radiological Engineer | Emp. No | Date: <u>03/09/0</u> | Ext: 5909 | |
| APPROVAL FOR TRANSFER/SHIPMENT | | | | |
| Approved. Charl Butter Radiological Engineer | Emp No | Date 03/19/ | /_Ext:5% | 9_ |

Rev 08/98

@RAH

Page 7097 010404-00114-001 RSFORMS-09 01-01

PROPERTY/WASTE RELEASE EVALUATION SIGNATURE REQUIREMENTS

Release Evaluation # 010309 RISS-001 Page 2 of 2
Release Evaluation for Waste

A Release Evaluation for Waste requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. The evaluation signature is by the Radiological Engineer (RE) providing the methods or criteria for unrestricted release (i.e. survey requirements, analytical requirements, no survey required, etc.) The unrestricted release approval signature for a Release Evaluation for Waste shall be a RE authorized to provide unrestricted release approval. In addition, the evaluation and unrestricted release approval signatures shall not be the same RE. The intent of this provision is to provide peer review of the evaluation and method of unrestricted release. It is important the RE take the peer review process scriously and not become a "rubber stamp" for their fellow engineer.

Release Evaluation for Property

A Release Evaluation for Property requires an evaluation and unrestricted release approval signature. For a Release Evaluation for Property, the evaluation and unrestricted release signature may be the same RE. In the past, only one signature was required for property for which a RE could provide an unrestricted release on the basis of process knowledge/history.

Release Evaluation for Samples

Samples are any waste or material that is being shipped to an off-site facility for analysis. Samples that may be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques may be authorized for shipment to an off site facility using the signatory requirements specified for property. Samples which cannot be provided with an unrestricted release using process knowledge/history or standard contamination survey techniques shall be authorized for shipment from the Site using the methodology specified for waste, i.e., second signature being provided by a RE authorized to perform peer review and approval for shipment.

The approval for transfer/shipment section of a Sample Release Evaluation (SRE) shall be revised as noted below for samples which cannot be provide with an unrestricted release

The samples specified in Part 1 of this release evaluation are being provided with authorization for transport as non radioactive materials in accordance with Department of Transportation (49 CFR) regulation. This authorization for shipment does not constitute an unrestricted release "

Additional Documentation

Number of lines per section may be modified or additional pages attached to ensure adequate documentation of information necessary to perform release evaluation.

Additional pages or attachments to a release evaluation shall have the evaluation number Page __ of __ initials of Radiological Engineer signing approval for transfer/shipment and date

